

Synergasia Standard for Verified Industrial CO₂ Credits (SIVC-2025)

Table of Contents

1. Executive Summary
2. Introduction & Background
3. Purpose & Scope
4. Global Context: Integrity & Market Challenges
5. Normative References
6. Terms & Definitions
 - 6.1 IoT Verification Layer (IVL)
 - 6.2 Blockchain Timestamping
 - 6.3 Tokenized Carbon Credit (TCC)
 - 6.4 Operational Efficiency Baseline (OEB)
 - 6.5 Automated Verification Protocol (AVP)
 - 6.6 Materiality Threshold
 - 6.7 Permanence Buffer
7. Guiding Principles
 - 7.1 Accuracy & Conservativeness
 - 7.2 Transparency & Auditability
 - 7.3 Additionality
 - 7.4 Permanence
 - 7.5 Independence & Impartiality
 - 7.6 Interoperability & Fungibility
 - 7.7 Continuous Improvement

8. Governance & Roles

- 8.1 Project Proponent
- 8.2 Data Operator (Synergasia Cloud or approved equivalent)
- 8.3 Registry Operator (Synergasia Verified Registry – SVR)
- 8.4 Independent Verifier
- 8.5 Standard Owner (Synergasia Group)
- 8.6 Regulators & Oversight Bodies

9. Methodology

- 9.1 Baseline Setting
- 9.2 Monitoring Requirements
- 9.3 Data Quality, Uncertainty & QA/QC
- 9.4 Verification & Validation
- 9.5 Credit Issuance
- 9.6 Worked Example – Industrial Recycling (Illustrative)

10. Safeguards & Stakeholder Engagement

- 10.1 Environmental & Social Safeguards
- 10.2 Stakeholder Consultation
- 10.3 Public Project Page
- 10.4 Grievance Mechanism

11. Double Counting, Leakage & Corresponding Adjustments

- 11.1 Double Counting Prevention
- 11.2 Avoiding Double Claiming
- 11.3 Leakage Analysis
- 11.4 Corresponding Adjustments (Article 6, Paris Agreement)
- 11.5 Revocation & Correction

12. Registry Design & Credit Lifecycle

- 12.1 Registry Architecture
- 12.2 Credit Lifecycle
- 12.3 Revocation & Correction
- 12.4 Transparency & Accountability

13. Interoperability & Alignment

- 13.1 Alignment with ETS2
- 13.2 Alignment with CBAM
- 13.3 Compatibility with ICVCM Core Carbon Principles (CCP)
- 13.4 CORSIA Integration
- 13.5 Interoperability with Other Markets
- 13.6 Future-Proofing

14. Cybersecurity, GDPR & Data Retention

- 14.1 Cybersecurity Requirements**
- 14.2 GDPR Compliance**
- 14.3 Data Retention & Archiving**

15. Comparative Benchmark: SIVC vs. Existing Standards

- 15.1 Benchmarking Criteria**
- 15.2 Comparative Matrix**
- 15.3 Added Value of SIVC-2025**

16. Implementation Roadmap for EU Recognition

- 16.1 Phase 1 – Pilot & Validation (2025–2026)**
- 16.2 Phase 2 – EU Engagement & Recognition (2026–2027)**
- 16.3 Phase 3 – Market Expansion (2027–2028)**
- 16.4 Phase 4 – Global Scaling (2028 onwards)**

17. Case Studies: Illustrative Industrial Applications

- 17.1 Industrial Plastics Recycling**
- 17.2 Logistics Fleet Optimization**
- 17.3 Manufacturing Process Efficiency**
- 17.4 Low-Carbon Industrial Inputs for Export**

Annex A – Data & Verification Architecture

Annex B – EU MRR Mapping Checklist

Annex C – Example Equations & Formulas

Annex D – Monitoring Plan Template

Annex E – Verifier Independence & Materiality

Annex F – Glossary of Terms

Annex G – References & Bibliography

Visual Flowcharts (Design Concepts)

EU-Style Policy Boxes (Key Messages)

1. Executive Summary

The Synergasia Standard for Verified Industrial CO₂ Credits (SIVC-2025) provides a next-generation framework for the measurement, verification, and tokenization of emission reductions in Europe's industrial sectors.

Where earlier approaches to carbon credits have relied on delayed reporting, paper-based audits, and limited transparency, SIVC-2025 establishes a **digital, real-time, audit-proof standard** designed for the upcoming expansion of the European Union Emissions Trading System (ETS2, 2027) and the Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM).

At its core, SIVC-2025 leverages three pillars:

- **IoT-anchored monitoring:** Continuous, sensor-based measurement of emissions and process efficiency, traceable to international calibration standards.
- **Blockchain integrity:** Each datapoint is timestamped, hashed, and immutably stored on a quantum-resistant blockchain ledger, creating a fraud-resistant audit trail.
- **Tokenized carbon credits:** Verified reductions are issued as on-chain units (TCCs) with full metadata, enabling seamless transfer, registry integration, and regulatory oversight.

By addressing issues of trust, double counting, and weak verification that have historically undermined carbon markets, SIVC-2025 offers Europe an opportunity to position itself as the global leader in **high-integrity industrial carbon credits**.

This is not a parallel system to existing compliance markets; it is a **plug-and-play upgrade** that brings industrial monitoring in line with digital standards, ensuring that every verified ton of CO₂ reduction is real, additional, permanent, and interoperable.

2. Introduction & Background

Carbon markets have evolved rapidly over the last two decades, moving from small voluntary offset initiatives to billion-euro compliance mechanisms that cover entire sectors of the economy. The European Union's ETS remains the most advanced compliance carbon market globally, yet its design and verification systems were created in a pre-digital era. As ETS2 is introduced in 2027, expanding coverage to transport and buildings, the demand for reliable, low-cost, and **digitally auditable carbon credits** will increase dramatically.

At the same time, **trust in carbon credits has been eroded** by widely reported irregularities. Independent investigations by international media and audit institutions have highlighted cases where emission reductions were overstated, credits were double-issued, or project baselines were exaggerated.¹ These problems do not reflect isolated misconduct—they reveal a systemic weakness: the reliance on ex-post audits and opaque documentation, often years after the emission reductions were claimed.

Europe now faces a choice: either continue with legacy approaches, risking public confidence and regulatory credibility, or establish a **new industrial benchmark** that combines the rigor of ISO standards with the security of digital monitoring.

SIVC-2025 was created precisely to fill this gap. It is designed not as an alternative to EU ETS rules but as an **integrated methodology** that strengthens existing monitoring and

reporting regulation (MRR), reduces verification costs, and unlocks scalable participation for both SMEs and multinationals.

¹ Examples include findings published by *The Guardian* (2023), *Bloomberg* (2022), and the *European Court of Auditors* (2021), all pointing to structural flaws in legacy carbon credit systems.

3. Purpose & Scope

The purpose of SIVC-2025 is to create a standardized, verifiable, and technologically advanced framework for the **quantification, monitoring, reporting, verification, certification, and tokenization** of greenhouse gas (GHG) emission reductions.

Scope of application:

- **Industrial recycling** (plastics, textiles, metals): reductions through waste-to-value conversion.
- **Manufacturing** (rubber, extrusion, process heat, steel substitution): reductions through efficiency improvements, material substitution, and low-carbon inputs.
- **Transport & logistics** (road fleets, maritime, rail): reductions through route optimization, electrification, and fuel efficiency.

The Standard is not intended for forestry or land-use projects, which require distinct methodologies and permanence considerations. Instead, SIVC-2025 focuses on sectors where Europe's ETS2 and CBAM regulations create direct demand for **measurable, auditable reductions within industrial supply chains**.

Regulatory alignment:

- Fully compatible with **EU ETS Directive 2003/87/EC** and its 2027 extension (ETS2).
- Structured to support CBAM reporting of embedded emissions.
- Designed to be interoperable with voluntary market principles such as the **ICVCM Core Carbon Principles (CCP)** and international frameworks including **CORSIA** for aviation.

By establishing this scope, SIVC-2025 ensures that credits are not just technically accurate but also **legally relevant and policy-aligned**.

It creates a direct bridge between industrial operators, European regulators, and international markets, ensuring that European industry remains competitive, compliant, and trusted in a global low-carbon economy.

4. Global Context: Integrity & Market Challenges

The global carbon market is undergoing both rapid expansion and intense scrutiny. In 2022, the voluntary carbon market (VCM) surpassed €2 billion in annual transactions, while compliance markets such as the EU ETS exceeded €800 billion in traded allowances. Yet despite these impressive figures, questions of **integrity, transparency, and credibility** continue to dominate the debate.

Independent reviews and media investigations have uncovered cases where:

- **Baselines were inflated**, leading to over-crediting of emission reductions.
- **Double counting** occurred across registries, where the same reduction was sold more than once.
- **Monitoring relied on outdated methodologies**, with audits performed years after credits were issued.
- **Uncertainty in measurement** undermined the environmental integrity of issued credits.

For example, reports from **Bloomberg (2022)** and the **European Court of Auditors (2021)** highlighted systemic weaknesses in verification and oversight that reduce confidence in credit quality.¹ Such findings have caused investor hesitation, reduced corporate appetite for voluntary credits, and led regulators to question whether current systems are fit for purpose in a compliance-driven era.

The EU has already taken steps to restore confidence by introducing the **Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (CBAM, 2023/956)**, requiring importers to declare embedded emissions in key industrial goods such as steel, cement, and aluminium. At the same time, the **expansion of ETS2 (2027)** to cover road transport and buildings will dramatically increase the volume of emission reductions that need to be measured, verified, and enforced.

Against this backdrop, the SIVC-2025 is positioned as a **European solution**:

- It directly addresses integrity concerns by ensuring **real-time verification** rather than ex-post audits.
- It introduces **immutable digital audit trails**, reducing the risk of fraud or manipulation.
- It lowers compliance costs for industry by automating reporting and verification, aligning with the EU's drive for **digital compliance systems**.

By building on existing ISO standards while embedding digital technologies, SIVC-2025 ensures that the **next phase of Europe's carbon market expansion is trustworthy, scalable, and future-proof**.

¹ Bloomberg, “Carbon Market Scandals Shake Confidence,” 2022; European Court of Auditors, “Special Report: EU Emissions Trading System – Free Allocation of Allowances,” 2021.

5. Normative References

SIVC-2025 is not developed in isolation. It is deliberately aligned with **international standards, EU legislation, and market principles** that are already widely recognized by regulators, verifiers, and industry. This ensures both **compatibility** and **credibility**.

ISO Standards:

- **ISO 14064-1:2018** – Specification with guidance at the organization level for quantification and reporting of greenhouse gas emissions and removals.
- **ISO 14064-2:2019** – Project-level guidance for quantification, monitoring, and reporting of GHG reductions.
- **ISO 14064-3:2019** – Requirements for validation and verification of GHG assertions.
- **ISO 14065:2020** – Accreditation criteria for validation and verification bodies.
- **ISO 14066:2011** – Requirements for the competence of validation and verification teams.
- **ISO 14067:2018** – Carbon footprint of products, for product-level assessments relevant under CBAM.

European Union Legislation:

- **EU ETS Directive 2003/87/EC** – Establishing a scheme for greenhouse gas emission allowance trading within the Community.
- **Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/2066 (MRR)** – Monitoring and Reporting Regulation.
- **Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/2067 (AVR)** – Accreditation and Verification Regulation.
- **ETS2 Framework 2027** – Extending coverage to road transport and buildings.
- **CBAM Regulation (EU) 2023/956** – Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism, requiring proof of embedded emissions in imports.

Global & Market References:

- **ICVCM – Core Carbon Principles (CCP, 2023):** Establishing integrity criteria for high-quality carbon credits.
- **CORSIA (ICAO):** Emission unit eligibility criteria for international aviation offsets.
- **GHG Protocol (WRI/WBCSD):** Widely used framework for corporate GHG accounting.

Relevance for SIVC-2025:

- Provides **legal interoperability:** companies can use SIVC-verified credits to support EU ETS2 and CBAM compliance.
- Ensures **methodological rigor:** alignment with ISO and GHG Protocol strengthens credibility in international markets.
- Supports **cross-market acceptance:** SIVC credits can be labelled as CCP-compliant or CORSIA-compatible, enabling global fungibility.

By grounding the Standard in these references, SIVC-2025 is designed to be **instantly recognizable to regulators and auditors** as a legitimate, high-quality framework—not an alternative, but an upgrade to existing systems.

6. Terms & Definitions

To ensure clarity, consistency, and interoperability across industrial operators, verifiers, and regulators, SIVC-2025 introduces a set of technical terms that are central to its methodology. These definitions build on ISO terminology, while extending them with digital and blockchain-specific concepts.

6.1 IoT Verification Layer (IVL)

A calibrated network of Internet of Things (IoT) sensors installed at industrial facilities, continuously measuring emissions-related parameters such as energy consumption, fuel use, material throughput, and process downtime.

- Sensors must be traceable to **ISO/IEC 17025 accredited calibration laboratories.**
- Data must be collected at defined intervals (minimum: 1-minute logging for high-emission nodes).
- Gateways must secure data in tamper-proof formats.

6.2 Blockchain Timestamping

The process of applying a cryptographic hash to each data point (or dataset block) and anchoring it immutably on a blockchain ledger.

- SIVC-2025 requires **quantum-resistant hashing (SHA-256 or higher).**

- Each entry includes timestamp, facility ID, and sensor reference.
- Ensures data cannot be manipulated ex post without detection.

6.3 Tokenized Carbon Credit (TCC)

A digital unit issued on-chain, representing the verified reduction of **1 metric ton of CO₂ equivalent (tCO₂e)**.

- Each TCC is linked to a unique smart contract with embedded metadata: facility ID, project boundary, dataset hash, calibration certificate, verifier ID.
- Credits are transferable, but their serial number and audit trail remain immutable.

6.4 Operational Efficiency Baseline (OEB)

The pre-project benchmark for both **Overall Equipment Effectiveness (OEE)** and carbon intensity, calculated using at least 90 consecutive days of IoT data.

- OEB captures downtime, speed losses, quality losses, and associated emissions.
- Used as the reference point against which reductions are measured.

6.5 Automated Verification Protocol (AVP)

A deterministic rules engine that cross-checks baseline and monitoring datasets.

- Conducts plausibility checks, anomaly detection, and completeness reviews.
- Flags deviations beyond predefined materiality thresholds.
- Generates a blockchain-stamped validation log.

6.6 Materiality Threshold

A quantitative threshold below which errors, omissions, or uncertainties are deemed immaterial to the overall decision.

- Standard threshold: **5% of total claimed reductions**.
- Key parameters: **1% threshold per parameter**.
- Based on ISO 14064-3 and EU AVR.

6.7 Permanence Buffer

A pooled reserve of credits withheld at issuance to cover risks of reversal or invalidation.

- Not typically required for industrial process projects but included where reductions depend on operational continuity.

7. Guiding Principles

The SIVC-2025 Standard is grounded in a set of guiding principles that ensure both environmental integrity and operational practicality. These principles reflect the **Core Carbon Principles (ICVCM)**, the **EU Monitoring & Reporting Regulation**, and **ISO 14064 series**, while introducing digital safeguards that address known market weaknesses.

7.1 Accuracy & Conservativeness

- All quantification must be based on continuous, sensor-derived data rather than periodic estimates.
- Conservative assumptions must be applied in cases of data gaps or uncertainty, ensuring reductions are not overstated.

7.2 Transparency & Auditability

- Every data point is timestamped and immutably anchored on-chain, creating a public, verifiable audit trail.
- Transparency extends to the registry: all credits issued under SIVC-2025 are publicly searchable with metadata.

7.3 Additionality

- Reductions must go beyond “business-as-usual” (BAU).
- Three tests are applied:
 1. **Regulatory additionality** – activity not already required by law.
 2. **Financial additionality** – activity would not have occurred without credit revenue.
 3. **Technological additionality** – activity involves new efficiency or clean-technology adoption.

7.4 Permanence

- Credits represent reductions that are maintained for the long term.
- If a facility reverts to higher-emission practices, credits can be revoked or neutralized from the permanence buffer.

7.5 Independence & Impartiality

- Verification is either automated (AVP) or conducted by accredited, independent auditors.

- Verifiers must meet ISO 14065 and ISO 14066 competence requirements.
- Conflict-of-interest disclosures are mandatory.

7.6 Interoperability & Fungibility

- Credits must be compatible across multiple systems: ETS2, CBAM, ICVCM CCP, and voluntary registries.
- Design ensures that a credit verified under SIVC-2025 is fungible across compliance and voluntary markets, avoiding silos.

7.7 Continuous Improvement

- The Standard undergoes annual review by a governance body.
- Incorporates stakeholder feedback, new ISO updates, and EU policy changes.
- Encourages facilities to improve monitoring systems over time, not just maintain minimum compliance.

8. Governance & Roles

For SIVC-2025 to be credible and effective, clear governance and clearly defined roles are essential. The standard establishes responsibilities across six key actors, ensuring independence, accountability, and competence throughout the lifecycle of carbon credits.

8.1 Project Proponent

The industrial facility or organization that undertakes a project with the objective of reducing emissions.

- Responsible for installing IoT sensors, maintaining calibration records, and providing operational data.
- Submits project documentation, including baseline assessment, monitoring plan, and reduction claims.

8.2 Data Operator (Synergasia Cloud or approved equivalent)

The entity responsible for secure collection, aggregation, and hashing of data.

- Must ensure **end-to-end encryption** of data streams.
- Operates gateways that create **tamper-evident logs**.
- Provides regulators and verifiers with real-time access through dashboards.

8.3 Registry Operator (Synergasia Verified Registry – SVR)

Manages the issuance, transfer, and retirement of Tokenized Carbon Credits (TCCs).

- Ensures **unique serial numbers** per credit.
- Publishes credit metadata (facility ID, dataset hash, verifier ID).
- Maintains an open-access explorer for regulators and stakeholders.

8.4 Independent Verifier

Accredited bodies responsible for providing impartial verification.

- Must be accredited under **ISO 14065**.
- Teams must meet competence requirements under **ISO 14066**.
- Obliged to disclose conflicts of interest.
- May complement or override Automated Verification Protocol (AVP) findings.

8.5 Standard Owner (Synergasia Group)

- Maintains and updates the SIVC-2025 framework.
- Conducts annual reviews of the standard.
- Engages with EU institutions to align updates with regulatory changes.

8.6 Regulators & Oversight Bodies

- European and national authorities who may rely on SIVC credits for ETS2 compliance or CBAM reporting.
- Have read-only access to the blockchain registry.
- May enforce revocation or penalties in case of fraud or misrepresentation.

9. Methodology

The methodology section sets out the step-by-step requirements for quantification, monitoring, verification, and issuance of credits under SIVC-2025. It is designed to be both **rigorous and practical**, balancing industrial feasibility with environmental integrity.

9.1 Baseline Setting

1. Operational Efficiency Baseline (OEB):

- At least 90 consecutive days of IoT data collection before project intervention.
- Boundaries must be clearly defined (facility, equipment, processes, transport fleets).

- Emission factors applied must be consistent with EU MRR or IPCC guidelines.

2. Baseline Report:

- Document current emissions profile (CO₂, CH₄, N₂O if relevant).
- Quantify downtime, process inefficiencies, and waste streams.
- Report uncertainty ranges and data quality assessment.

9.2 Monitoring Requirements

1. Sensors & Calibration:

- All critical emission sources must be continuously monitored by IoT sensors.
- Calibration traceable to ISO/IEC 17025.
- Redundancy required for high-volume nodes (e.g., fuel meters).

2. Data Integrity:

- Secure gateways transmit encrypted data to the Synergasia Cloud.
- Each dataset block is hashed and timestamped on blockchain.
- Fallback procedures required in case of sensor downtime (conservative estimates applied).

3. Reporting Frequency:

- Minimum: monthly reporting.
- Annual aggregated report required for credit issuance.

9.3 Data Quality, Uncertainty & QA/QC

- **Completeness:** all relevant emission sources must be included.
- **Accuracy:** sensors must meet $\pm 1\%$ precision thresholds.
- **Uncertainty analysis:** quantify measurement and model uncertainty, disclose in monitoring reports.
- **QA/QC plan:** required for all projects, including meter maintenance, anomaly detection, and internal audits.

9.4 Verification & Validation

1. Automated Verification Protocol (AVP):

- Blockchain-based cross-check of all datasets.
- Detects anomalies, missing data, or inconsistencies.
- Issues a digital “verification hash” stored on-chain.

2. Independent Verification:

- Accredited verifiers review project documents, baseline, monitoring reports.
- May conduct on-site inspections where material risks exist.
- Apply materiality thresholds (5% overall, 1% per parameter).

3. Verification Report:

- Issued in digital format with hash-anchor on blockchain.
 - Mandatory for credits intended for ETS2 or CBAM compliance.
-

9.5 Credit Issuance

- **Unit definition:** 1 Tokenized Carbon Credit (TCC) = 1 tCO₂e verified reduction.
 - **Metadata requirements:**
 - Facility ID & geolocation.
 - Dataset hash & timestamp.
 - Sensor calibration certificate IDs.
 - Verifier ID & report hash.
 - Corresponding Adjustment flag (if applicable).
 - **Lifecycle:**
 - Credits issued → transferred → retired.
 - Revocation possible in case of fraud or non-conformity.
-

9.6 Worked Example – Industrial Recycling (Illustrative)

Consider a **generic industrial recycling facility** that processes approximately 50,000 tons of plastic waste per year.

- **Baseline emissions:** In the absence of recycling, this volume of waste would be conventionally disposed of, leading to an estimated **120,000 tCO₂e per year**.
- **Project emissions:** By introducing advanced recycling technology, the facility's operational footprint—including electricity and process energy—totals **40,000 tCO₂e per year**.
- **Verified reduction:** The net reduction is therefore **80,000 tCO₂e annually**, representing the difference between baseline and project emissions.
- **Credits issued:** Following verification, the registry issues **80,000 Tokenized Carbon Credits (TCCs)**, each carrying immutable metadata including facility identifier, dataset timestamp, sensor calibration reference, and verifier hash.

This worked example illustrates how SIVC-2025 transforms measurable industrial efficiency gains into **auditable, tokenized carbon credits** that are fully compatible with compliance and voluntary frameworks.

10. Safeguards & Stakeholder Engagement

SIVC-2025 is built not only on technical rigor but also on **social and environmental safeguards**. Industrial decarbonization projects may have secondary impacts on local communities, employees, or ecosystems. To ensure broad acceptance and alignment with European sustainability values, the Standard requires a structured approach to stakeholder engagement.

10.1 Environmental & Social Safeguards

- Projects must identify potential environmental risks (e.g. waste leakage, noise, local air pollution) and social risks (e.g. workforce conditions, community displacement).
- A safeguard screening checklist must be completed before credit issuance.
- Projects with significant risks must implement mitigation measures, documented in a Safeguard Plan.

10.2 Stakeholder Consultation

- Each project must conduct stakeholder engagement appropriate to its scale.
- Stakeholders include employees, local communities, local authorities, and supply chain partners.
- At minimum, a **consultation meeting** or **online public notice** must be held before project registration.
- A summary of stakeholder comments and responses must be included in the monitoring plan.

10.3 Public Project Page

- Every registered project under SIVC-2025 must maintain a public-facing page in the Synergasia Verified Registry (SVR).
- Required information includes: project description, baseline emissions, monitoring methodology, verifier information, and issued credits.
- Confidential data may be protected, but material information must remain transparent.

10.4 Grievance Mechanism

- A transparent grievance procedure must be available to stakeholders.
- Complaints must be logged, investigated, and publicly documented with resolution outcomes.
- Where grievances are substantiated, corrective actions must be implemented and, if necessary, credits revoked.

Impact: These safeguards align SIVC-2025 with EU corporate sustainability requirements (CSRD, due diligence directives) and with international best practices, ensuring that industrial carbon credits are not only technically valid but also socially legitimate.

11. Double Counting, Leakage & Corresponding Adjustments

A central principle of carbon credit integrity is that **no ton of CO₂ can be counted twice**. SIVC-2025 incorporates robust mechanisms to prevent double counting, to monitor potential leakage effects, and to align with international requirements for corresponding adjustments under the Paris Agreement.

11.1 Double Counting Prevention

- Each credit issued is assigned a **unique serial number** and hash-anchored on the blockchain.

- The Synergasia Verified Registry (SVR) prevents the re-issuance of serials.
- All retired credits are marked permanently in the registry to avoid re-sale.
- Cross-checks with EU ETS and CBAM reporting frameworks ensure no duplication between compliance and voluntary use.

11.2 Avoiding Double Claiming

- Clear attribution rules: only the project proponent may claim reductions.
- If credits are transferred to another entity (e.g. importer under CBAM), the registry records the new claim.
- Companies must disclose use of credits in sustainability reports, enabling alignment with corporate GHG Protocol accounting.

11.3 Leakage Analysis

Projects must assess whether emission reductions in one facility cause increases elsewhere (“leakage”). Examples include:

- Recycling facility increases electricity demand → emissions shift to the power sector.
- Transport fleet optimizes routes → outsourced logistics partner increases emissions.

Where leakage risk is significant, a **quantified leakage factor** must be deducted from issued credits.

11.4 Corresponding Adjustments (Article 6, Paris Agreement)

For credits that may be used toward national climate targets (NDCs) or internationally transferred mitigation outcomes (ITMOs):

- Host country must apply a corresponding adjustment to avoid double counting at national level.
- Credits intended for voluntary corporate use without NDC linkage do not require adjustments but must be clearly labeled.
- The registry flags whether a credit is “**CA-applied**” or “**non-CA**”.

11.5 Revocation & Correction

- If double counting or leakage is discovered ex post, credits may be revoked.
- Revoked credits are automatically retired and marked invalid in the registry.

- Where corresponding adjustments were incorrectly applied, corrective disclosure is mandatory.

Impact: These provisions ensure that SIVC-2025 credits can meet the highest integrity standards globally (ICVCM Core Carbon Principles) and can be recognized under compliance frameworks without risk of reputational or regulatory challenge.

12. Registry Design & Credit Lifecycle

A robust registry system is the backbone of any carbon credit standard. Without transparent tracking, risks of double counting, fraud, and market manipulation increase significantly. The **Synergasia Verified Registry (SVR)** has been designed as a **next-generation, blockchain-integrated registry** that ensures end-to-end traceability for every credit issued under SIVC-2025.

12.1 Registry Architecture

- Blockchain Core:** All issuance, transfer, and retirement events are immutably logged on a quantum-resistant blockchain.
- Unique Serial Numbers:** Each Tokenized Carbon Credit (TCC) is assigned a globally unique serial number that cannot be altered or duplicated.
- Public Explorer:** Stakeholders, regulators, and auditors can search for any credit by ID, facility, or verifier reference.
- APIs for Regulators:** ETS2 and CBAM authorities can directly access registry data via secure APIs, avoiding manual reporting.

12.2 Credit Lifecycle

The lifecycle of each TCC follows four stages:

- Issuance** – After verification, credits are minted on-chain with full metadata.
- Transfer** – Credits may be transferred between accounts, but all transactions remain visible on the blockchain explorer.
- Labeling** – Credits can carry additional tags, such as “ICVCM CCP-compliant” or “CA-applied,” for market differentiation.
- Retirement** – Once used (e.g., for CBAM import declaration or corporate climate target), credits are permanently retired.

12.3 Revocation & Correction

- Credits may be revoked in case of fraud, misrepresentation, or invalidated monitoring data.

- Revocation events are logged publicly, and revoked credits cannot re-enter circulation.
- If over-issuance is detected, corrective action must include cancellation of surplus credits.

12.4 Transparency & Accountability

- Every registry entry contains: project description, facility ID, verifier reference, issuance date, and serial number.
- Confidential commercial data (e.g., production volumes) may be redacted but all material information is public.
- The registry is **non-custodial**: credits remain in control of the rightful owner's blockchain wallet, subject to oversight.

Impact: The SVR ensures that each verified ton of CO₂ reduction can only exist once, is fully traceable, and can withstand scrutiny from both regulators and markets. This level of integrity addresses long-standing criticisms of opacity in legacy registries.

13. Interoperability & Alignment

One of the key strengths of SIVC-2025 is its ability to function as a **bridge between compliance markets, voluntary markets, and international frameworks**. Unlike legacy standards that operate in silos, SIVC-2025 was designed from the outset to be interoperable with EU regulations and global initiatives.

13.1 Alignment with ETS2

- **ETS2 Monitoring & Reporting:** SIVC-2025 mirrors the requirements of the EU Monitoring & Reporting Regulation (MRR) for data completeness, accuracy, and uncertainty.
- **Automated Reporting:** Data from the registry can flow directly into ETS2 allowance systems, reducing administrative burden.
- **Compliance Recognition:** Credits may be used to demonstrate verified efficiency gains or emission reductions within covered sectors.

13.2 Alignment with CBAM

- **Proof of Embedded Emissions:** SIVC credits provide immutable evidence of emissions intensity in industrial imports (steel, aluminium, fertilizers, etc.).
- **Cross-Border Verification:** Exporters can register reductions on SVR, and importers can reference them for CBAM declarations.

- **Regulator Access:** CBAM authorities can query the registry directly, avoiding disputes over data reliability.

13.3 Compatibility with ICVCM Core Carbon Principles (CCP)

- **Integrity Principles:** SIVC embeds CCP requirements on governance, transparency, and additionality.
- **Labeling Mechanism:** Credits can carry a “CCP-compliant” tag within the registry, enhancing credibility in voluntary markets.
- **Market Acceptance:** This ensures fungibility across corporate buyers seeking only high-integrity credits.

13.4 CORSIA Integration

- **Eligibility Mapping:** SIVC verification requirements are aligned with ICAO’s Emissions Unit Criteria (EUC).
- **Registry Linkage:** Credits may be flagged as “CORSIA-eligible,” allowing airlines to purchase them for offsetting obligations.
- **Cross-Recognition:** Prevents the need for duplicative verification processes.

13.5 Interoperability with Other Markets

- **Asia-Pacific & MENA Schemes:** Many regional markets (e.g., Singapore, UAE, South Korea) are establishing carbon trading systems. SIVC’s digital foundation allows seamless linkage.
- **India’s Carbon Credit Trading Scheme (CCTS):** While SIVC-2025 is primarily aligned with EU regulations, its digital MRV architecture can also support emerging compliance systems such as India’s Carbon Credit Trading Scheme (CCTS, 2023). With its focus on industrial efficiency and embedded emission tracking, the SIVC framework can serve as a technical layer for verified export credits or cross-border registry integration. Future interoperability with CCTS-compliant registries may be explored as India’s carbon market matures.
- **Corporate Reporting (GHG Protocol, CSRD):** Companies can directly integrate SIVC credits into their Scope 1–3 reporting.

13.6 Future-Proofing

- As blockchain evolves, SIVC is designed to migrate between chains while maintaining integrity of historical data.
- Smart contracts are upgradable with regulator approval, ensuring adaptability to future policy changes.

Impact: Interoperability makes SIVC-2025 not just another carbon credit standard but a **unifying digital framework** that can support Europe's compliance mechanisms, global voluntary markets, and cross-border trade systems simultaneously.

14. Cybersecurity, GDPR & Data Retention

The credibility of digital carbon credits depends on the **security, privacy, and integrity** of the underlying data.

SIVC-2025 establishes strict requirements to ensure that emissions data remains tamper-proof, compliant with European data protection law, and auditable for decades.

14.1 Cybersecurity Requirements

- **Encryption in Transit & at Rest:** All sensor data must be encrypted using AES-256 or higher.
- **Secure Gateways:** Data gateways must use signed firmware, secure boot, and mutual TLS authentication.
- **Blockchain Anchoring:** All dataset hashes are stored immutably, preventing retroactive changes.
- **Audit Logging:** Every system access, anomaly flag, and verifier login must be logged with timestamps.
- **Incident Response Plan:** Project proponents and registry operators must maintain written protocols for cyber incidents, reviewed annually.

14.2 GDPR Compliance

- **Personal Data Minimization:** Only operational data relevant to emissions monitoring may be processed. Employee-level or customer data must be excluded unless anonymized.
- **Data Sovereignty:** EU-based facilities must store data within the European Economic Area (EEA). Non-EU facilities must comply with GDPR adequacy decisions.
- **Data Subject Rights:** In the rare case personal data is involved (e.g., driver telematics in transport projects), rights of access, rectification, and erasure must be respected.
- **Lawful Basis:** Data processing under SIVC-2025 is justified under "legal obligation" and "public interest" grounds, aligning with ETS2/CBAM requirements.

14.3 Data Retention & Archiving

- **Minimum Retention:** All monitoring, reporting, and verification data must be retained for at least **10 years after credit retirement**.
- **Archiving Standards:** Archived data must include dataset hashes, calibration certificates, verifier reports, and registry transactions.
- **Version Control:** Any updates to monitoring methodologies must be documented, with change logs preserved.
- **Accessibility:** Regulators and auditors must have read-only access to historical records at any time.

Impact: By embedding cybersecurity and GDPR compliance directly into the standard, SIVC-2025 ensures that European regulators and industries can trust not only the accuracy of the data, but also the legality and security of its handling.

15. Comparative Benchmark: SIVC vs. Existing Standards

SIVC-2025 builds on the lessons of legacy frameworks while adding digital innovations to restore trust and efficiency. This section compares SIVC against major standards and initiatives, highlighting similarities, differences, and added value.

15.1 Benchmarking Criteria

- **MRV Integrity (Monitoring, Reporting, Verification)**
- **Additionality & Permanence**
- **Verification Process**
- **Safeguards & Stakeholder Engagement**
- **Registry Design**
- **Digitalization (IoT & Blockchain)**
- **EU ETS / CBAM Compatibility**
- **Global Recognition (ICVCM, CORSIA)**

15.2 Comparative Matrix

Criterion	Verra (VCS)	Gold Standard	ICVCM CCP	CORSIA	SIVC-2025
MRV Integrity	Project docs, periodic audits	Project docs + SDG metrics	Principles only	High for aviation	Continuous IoT data + Blockchain anchoring
Additionality	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes (3-layer test)
Permanence	Buffer reserves	Buffer reserves	Principles	Project rules	Buffer + revocation + blockchain record
Verification	Accredited auditors	Accredited auditors	Expected under CCP	Mandatory	Automated AVP + Accredited auditors
Safeguards	Limited	Strong (SDGs, safeguards)	Strong	Moderate	Mandatory safeguards, grievance mechanism
Registry	Centralized, opaque	Centralized	TBD	Linked to ICAO	Transparent, blockchain-based, public explorer
Digitalization	None	None	None	None	Full IoT + quantum-resistant blockchain

Criterion	Verra (VCS)	Gold Standard	ICVCM CCP	CORSIA	SIVC-2025
ETS/CBAM Compatibility	Weak	Weak	Moderate	Aviation only	Strong – plug-and-play with ETS2 & CBAM
Global Recognition	Yes	Yes	Principles only	Aviation only	Designed for CCP & CORSIA tagging

15.3 Added Value of SIVC-2025

- Moves beyond paper-based reporting to **real-time digital MRV**.
- Eliminates delays and opacity with **instant blockchain timestamping**.
- Ensures **direct EU policy alignment**, making credits compliance-ready.
- Scales across both SMEs and multinationals with low transaction costs.

Conclusion: While Verra, Gold Standard, CCP, and CORSIA provide valuable frameworks, SIVC-2025 represents a **step change** by embedding **fraud-resistant digital infrastructure** into the very core of carbon credit generation.

16. Implementation Roadmap for EU Recognition

The transition from concept to official recognition requires a phased, transparent process. SIVC-2025 defines a roadmap that balances pilot testing with regulatory engagement, ensuring that by the launch of ETS2 in 2027, the standard is fully embedded into Europe's compliance infrastructure.

16.1 Phase 1 – Pilot & Validation (2025–2026)

- **Pilot Projects:** Generic industrial pilots in three areas:
 - Recycling (e.g., plastics or metals).
 - Transport and logistics.
 - Manufacturing process efficiency.
- **Objectives:** Test IoT sensor installations, Automated Verification Protocol (AVP) performance, and registry functions.

- **Outputs:** Technical performance reports, verifier assessments, and stakeholder feedback.
- **Deliverable:** Consolidated “SIVC-2025 Pilot Results Report” submitted for review by EU institutions.

16.2 Phase 2 – EU Engagement & Recognition (2026–2027)

- **Regulatory Dialogue:** Structured consultation with DG CLIMA, the ENVI Committee of the European Parliament, and Member State authorities.
- **Alignment with ETS2:** Ensure that monitoring data formats under SIVC are fully compatible with EU Monitoring & Reporting Regulation (MRR) templates.
- **CBAM Integration:** Test declarations of embedded emissions using SIVC credits for high-carbon industrial imports.
- **Deliverable:** Formal request for recognition of the Synergasia Verified Registry (SVR) as an approved registry under ETS2.

16.3 Phase 3 – Market Expansion (2027–2028)

- **EU-Wide Rollout:** Adoption of SIVC across compliance and voluntary markets.
- **Corporate Uptake:** Integration of SIVC credits into CSRD-driven sustainability reporting.
- **Third-Party Registries:** Establish partnerships with other credit registries to enable interoperability.
- **Deliverable:** A public registry explorer with cross-border API access for regulators and auditors.

16.4 Phase 4 – Global Scaling (2028 onwards)

- **International Linkages:** Recognition under CORSIA, ICVCM Core Carbon Principles, and regional ETS schemes.
- **Industry Leadership:** Establish Europe as the benchmark for digital, industrial carbon credits.
- **Innovation:** Annual upgrades of AVP algorithms, cybersecurity protocols, and blockchain infrastructure.

Impact: This roadmap ensures that SIVC-2025 evolves from pilot stage to full European recognition, while remaining adaptable for global expansion.

17. Case Studies: Illustrative Industrial Applications

To illustrate the practical application of SIVC-2025, the following **hypothetical examples** demonstrate how the methodology works across different industrial sectors. They are intended purely as illustrations of the standard's functionality.

17.1 Case Study – Industrial Plastics Recycling

- **Baseline:** Conventional disposal of 50,000 tons of plastic waste → 120,000 tCO₂e emissions.
- **Project:** Advanced recycling technology reduces emissions to 40,000 tCO₂e/year.
- **Verified Reduction:** 80,000 tCO₂e/year.
- **Credits Issued:** 80,000 TCCs/year.
- **Co-Benefits:** Lower landfill use and supply of low-carbon secondary materials.

17.2 Case Study – Logistics Fleet Optimization

- **Baseline:** Medium-sized fleet consuming 10 million liters of diesel annually → 27,000 tCO₂e.
- **Project:** Route optimization combined with partial fleet electrification.
- **Project Emissions:** 18,000 tCO₂e/year.
- **Verified Reduction:** 9,000 tCO₂e/year.
- **Issued Credits:** 9,000 TCCs/year.
- **Monitoring:** GPS and fuel sensors with blockchain timestamping.

17.3 Case Study – Manufacturing Process Efficiency

- **Baseline:** Factory with 70% OEE and annual emissions of 60,000 tCO₂e.
- **Project:** Process improvements and energy recovery systems.
- **Project Emissions:** 45,000 tCO₂e/year.
- **Verified Reduction:** 15,000 tCO₂e/year.
- **Issued Credits:** 15,000 TCCs/year.
- **Verification:** AVP cross-check supported by independent verifier audit.

17.4 Case Study – Low-Carbon Industrial Inputs for Export

- **Baseline:** Industrial production with embedded emissions of 2.2 tCO₂e per ton of output.
- **Project:** Cleaner energy integration reduces intensity to 1.6 tCO₂e/ton.

- **Verified Reduction:** 0.6 tCO₂e per ton.
- **Application:** Credits used as proof of embedded emissions in cross-border trade declarations.

Conclusion:

These illustrative case studies show how SIVC-2025 can generate real, measurable, and auditable emission reductions in different industrial contexts. They demonstrate not only technical feasibility but also the potential for alignment with EU compliance frameworks and international markets.

Annexes

Annex A – Data & Verification Architecture

The SIVC-2025 standard requires a layered digital infrastructure to ensure that monitoring and verification are continuous, tamper-proof, and fully auditable.

System Architecture Overview:

1. **IoT Sensors:** Installed on emission sources (e.g. fuel meters, energy meters, throughput sensors).
2. **Secure Gateways:** Collect sensor data, encrypt packets, and transmit to the Synergasia Cloud.
3. **Synergasia Cloud:** Aggregates raw data, runs anomaly detection, prepares blocks for hashing.
4. **Blockchain Layer:** Applies quantum-resistant hashing (SHA-512 or higher) and immutably stores dataset hashes with timestamps.
5. **Automated Verification Protocol (AVP):** Runs cross-checks, materiality assessments, and compliance validations.
6. **Registry Interface (SVR):** Issues Tokenized Carbon Credits (TCCs) with complete metadata and makes them available for regulators, auditors, and buyers.

Key Features:

- Redundancy for critical nodes.
- Fail-safe fallback procedures in case of sensor downtime.
- Tamper detection at gateway and blockchain level.

Annex B – EU MRR Mapping Checklist

The following checklist shows how SIVC-2025 aligns with the **EU Monitoring and Reporting Regulation (2018/2066)**:

EU MRR Requirement	SIVC-2025 Mechanism	Status
Completeness of sources	Mandatory IoT sensor coverage of all major emission nodes	✓
Accuracy & uncertainty	Sensors with $\pm 1\%$ precision, uncertainty reporting in Monitoring Plan	✓
QA/QC procedures	Required QA/QC manual including calibration and anomaly handling	✓
Data retention	10-year minimum with blockchain anchoring	✓
Reporting frequency	Monthly + annual consolidated reports	✓

Annex C – Example Equations & Formulas

Transport Fuel Emissions:

$$\text{CO}_2\text{e} = \text{Fuel Volume (L)} \times \text{Emission Factor (kgCO}_2\text{/L)} \div 1000$$

$$1000\text{CO}_2\text{e} = \text{Fuel Volume (L)} \times \text{Emission Factor (kgCO}_2\text{/L)}$$

Process Heat Efficiency:

$$\text{Emission Savings (tCO}_2\text{e)} = (\text{Baseline Energy Use} - \text{Project Energy Use}) \times \text{Emission Factor}$$

$$\text{Emission Savings (tCO}_2\text{e)} = (\text{Baseline Energy Use} - \text{Project Energy Use}) \times \text{Emission Factor}$$

$$\text{Emission Savings (tCO}_2\text{e)} = (\text{Baseline Energy Use} - \text{Project Energy Use}) \times \text{Emission Factor}$$

Recycling Avoided Emissions:

$$\text{Avoided Emissions} = \text{Virgin Production Emissions} - \text{Recycling Process Emissions}$$

$$\text{Avoided Emissions} = \text{Virgin Production Emissions} - \text{Recycling Process Emissions}$$

$$\text{Avoided Emissions} = \text{Virgin Production Emissions} - \text{Recycling Process Emissions}$$

Annex D – Monitoring Plan Template

Monitoring Plan (minimum content):

1. Project description and boundaries.
2. Baseline emissions inventory.
3. Monitoring methodology and data collection points.
4. Sensor types, calibration certificates, and maintenance schedules.
5. QA/QC procedures.
6. Data security and blockchain hashing protocols.
7. Uncertainty analysis.
8. Reporting frequency and responsibilities.

Annex E – Verifier Independence & Materiality

Verifier Requirements:

- Accredited under ISO 14065.
- Teams must meet ISO 14066 competence standards.
- Conflict-of-interest declarations mandatory.

Materiality Thresholds:

- 5% at project level.
- 1% per parameter.
- Any deviation beyond thresholds requires correction before issuance.

Annex F – Glossary of Terms

- **Additionality:** Emission reductions beyond business-as-usual.
- **AVP:** Automated Verification Protocol, a rules engine validating datasets.
- **Blockchain Timestamping:** Immutable recording of dataset hashes.
- **CBAM:** Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism (EU Regulation 2023/956).
- **ETS2:** Expansion of the EU Emissions Trading System to transport and buildings (2027).
- **OEE:** Overall Equipment Effectiveness, used for operational baselines.
- **TCC:** Tokenized Carbon Credit, 1 unit = 1 tCO₂e verified reduction.

Annex G – References & Bibliography

- ISO 14064-1:2018, ISO 14064-2:2019, ISO 14064-3:2019.
 - ISO 14065:2020, ISO 14066:2011, ISO 14067:2018.
 - Directive 2003/87/EC (EU ETS).
 - Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/2066 (MRR).
 - Commission Implementing Regulation (EU) 2018/2067 (AVR).
 - Regulation (EU) 2023/956 (CBAM).
 - ICVCM Core Carbon Principles (2023).
 - CORSIA Emissions Unit Criteria (ICAO).
 - GHG Protocol (WRI/WBCSD).
-

Legal Disclaimer

The SIVC-2025 Standard is a voluntary technical framework developed by Synergasia Group.

It is intended to support the digital monitoring, verification, and tokenization of industrial carbon reductions in alignment with EU policy frameworks, including ETS2 and CBAM. This document does **not** constitute legal, regulatory, or financial advice, nor does it replace obligations under European or national law.

Entities adopting this framework are solely responsible for ensuring compliance with applicable legal requirements in their jurisdiction.